

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/020,269	12/14/2001	William M. Mitchell	50150/025002	9901
21559	7590 04/18/2005	EXAMINER		INER
	ELBING LLP	SWARTZ, F	ODNEY P	
101 FEDERAL STREET BOSTON, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, .			1645	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/020,269	MITCHELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 6-8 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	1 Î				
C Patent and Trademark Office		11				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

1. Pursuant to Notice of Withdrawal from issue under 37 CFR 1.313(b), mailed 24March2005, prosecution is reopened.

2. Claims 1, 2, and 6-8 are pending and under consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dascher et al (1993, Microbial Pathogenesis 15:455-467), newly cited, in view of either Storey et al (J.Gen Microbiol 139:2621-2626) or Girjes et al (1994, Gene 138:139-142), of record.

Dascher et al (1993) teaches the expression of a C. psittachi MOMP in E. coli, wherein the MOMP is substantially purified via one- and two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. See the abstract onpage 455 and Fig. 4. However, the reference does not particularly exemplify the sequences of the MOMP. Both Storey et al and Girjes et al teach the DNA sequences of the MOMP gene respectively from C. pneumoniae and Chlamydia psittachi. See page 2623 of Storey et al and page 140 or Girjes et al (1994). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the DNA sequences of the MOMP gene of Storey et al or Girjes et al in the expression system and purification of MOMP of Dascher et al (1993), since Dascher et al particularly teaches that a recombinant sytem that ensures proper MOMP cellular topology would facilitate the understanding of the multiple functions of MOMP with the reasonable expectation of successful expression of the

Application/Control Number: 10/020,269

Art Unit: 1645

MOMP for further understanding of their role as a protective antigen, an adhesion or a porin, as

taught by the reference. See page 456 of Dascher et al.

Conclusion

3. No claims are allowed.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571)

272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM

to 4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette F. Smith, can be reached on (571)272-0864.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ODNEY P SWARTZ, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER Art Unit 1645

Page 3

April 13, 2005